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Wars are basically launched to coerce wills. The Prussian military general and theologian Carl von Clausewitz defined war as follows: “War is the continuation of politics by other means,” but wars have never been more than a means to coerce wills. Wars are basically launched when wills are not attained or they are not uponed upon by the opposing party. Most of the times, wars are fuelled by failed political or diplomatic endeavors. Finding no compromise or agreement, the parties jump in the war and try to solve the issues through force.

War is not a new concept in human societies, rather they have been part of different phases of history. But in the modern world of ours it has become more lethal. Earlier human societies were not very complex and even their wars were not very much in form. Today human societies are very much complex and they have very frequent interactions; furthermore, today’s wars are still, in many cases, getting worse day by day while the number of people living on earth are increasing. Moreover, with the development of technology, these wars are being advanced in the field of modern weaponry, making wars more powerful and more lethal. There are many reasons that the modern world can well end in nuclear wars which if not controlled properly can really lead to the doom of human beings.

The reasons and motivations for wars are different. It should be noted that the motivation for war may be different for these wars in many ways. The people, the politicians, the military, the governments, the leaders, the ministers, the generals and the politicians may be the reason for wars. But the people who benefit from wars are the politicians and the military leaders. The politicians, the military, the leaders, the generals and the politicians may be the reason for wars.

War uses force and force requires energy, which is provided by leadership, military and people. Once that energy dies, the war cannot continue.

The Pir government is immune to scandal for two reasons. The first is its strong economy, which has allowed it to pursue successive social programs aimed at marginalized voters. For example, just before the European Parliament elections, the government disbursed an extra 100m złoty to the poorer residents. Both groups voted overwhelmingly for the Pir. Moreover, the Pir has also benefited from a series of spurious, defamatory charges against the opposition and groups such as refugees and Roma. The media, which is controlled by the government, is now a media environment in which anything goes. That is why the Pir government can easily avoid or conceal whatever it wants. While investig- ative journalists compile fact after fact, receipt after receipt, and ex- pose whatever they want; it won’t change anything. While inves- tigating, Pir journalists compile fact after fact, receipt after receipt, and ex- pose whatever they want; it won’t change anything. While inves- tigative journalists compile fact after fact, receipt after receipt, and ex- pose whatever they want; it won’t change anything. While investig- ative journalists compile fact after fact, receipt after receipt, and ex- pose whatever they want; it won’t change anything. While inves- tigative journalists compile fact after fact, receipt after receipt, and ex- pose whatever they want; it won’t change anything.
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