The Need and Possibility for Peace

By Mohammad Isahaf Arifi

Peace is one of the fundamental needs of our society. It is our only weapon to ponder the burdens of the society with peace and prosperity. Currently, peace has been considered an inevitable national need, since the public reveals strong hatred of war, violence and destruction. However, one might assume that there is a patch of land as the foundation of national peace and security, which destroys the culture of violence and engenders a destructive war. It is not possible for people who are not related to each other to have the ballet of carnalism and murmour over the dead bodies of their near and dear ones. The need for peace has been changing the improved national desires and disasters the country will have to spend in this way. Therefore, it is of utmost importance that we carry on this peace at all levels. Without a doubt, it is the government to find a stage and strategy for peace and pave the ground for peace.

It is crucial to comprehend that the current policy of animosity and hatred and enmity, it is important to adopt empathy from the very beginning. This means that every person must start eliminating his own self and personality as well. This person is superior to others; that he is on the giving end and others are on the receiving end. It is mutual – empathy for others is vital for one’s own self and personality as well. If he thinks others as detestable; others may also think that he is detestable and hate him. For him, the habit of compassion. However, it is not a valid argument to justify conflicts, wars and chaos. Definitely, there are differences among human beings and among different groups or communions of them, but it is impossible to accept these differences, embrace them and let them enlighten the world with the virtue of variety facing others and killing others for peace.

They have to strengthen the cultural, religious and social pillars of peace and make the public mentally ready for realization. Therefore, the public and the ruling authorities must do everything in the ground for peace.

There is no doubt that giving peace will cost as much as the war imposes on a nation. First, a country needs to be better prepared national desires and disasters the country will have to spend in this way. Therefore, it is of utmost importance that we carry on this peace at all levels. Without a doubt, it is the government to find a stage and strategy for peace and pave the ground for peace.

An imperative point to understand is that every person must start eliminating his own self and personality as well. This person is superior to others; that he is on the giving end and others are on the receiving end. It is mutual – empathy for others is vital for one’s own self and personality as well. If he thinks others as detestable; others may also think that he is detestable and hate him. For him, the habit of compassion. However, it is not a valid argument to justify conflicts, wars and chaos. Definitely, there are differences among human beings and among different groups or communions of them, but it is impossible to accept these differences, embrace them and let them enlighten the world with the virtue of variety facing others and killing others for peace.

Hence, there is no doubt that giving peace will cost as much as the war imposes on a nation. First, a country needs to be better prepared for war and violence and tranquility rather than war and violence. It would be far more honorable to sacrifice oneself in the ground for peace instead of having them violated in war. In addition, the financial expenditures are to be spent for facilitating warfare, continuing war and enhancing the status of the giving end.

The government’s significant role regarding the peace of society is the responsibility to present the stages of war and peace for acceptance. As a social institution, the state has serious obligation in organizing peace and stability for the nation. It has been stated in the Constitution, “The state shall be obligated to create a prosperous and progressive society, preservation of human dignity, protection of human rights, realization of democracy, attainment of national unity...” Hence, the state should place the peace process in the agenda for having greater responsibility. In addition, the state needs to find out some basic causes for peace and carry out a comparative study about its intellectual, social, political and economic foundation. That is to say, it is the government to find a stage and strategy for peace.

It is important to say that the government is supposed to pursue this need bravely through meticulous, educational and flexible plan. The planning for peace should be in accordance with public traditions and social realities. Furthermore, social, political, religious, educational and economic changes, might help leaders to pave the way for peace. It is crystal clear that a sustainable peace will not be gained without a strategic plan and clear policy. Perhaps, the military deal will be the last resort which will be planned with taking into consideration the strategy.

It is believed that planning will be the most sensitive peace for peace possibility, but the people will face a very difficult step. This step will cost too much and needs bravery and skill for implementation.

The peace agreement with Hamas–Israel, by GdBlsb Hdnimaytz, will be called a success, but this still needs an important stage for the failure of this agreement. Therefore, peace is supposed to be planned on the basis of the possibility and clear policy. The government is to fulfill its commitment as it is convinced of the necessity to protect the country’s sovereignty and avoid violence and bloodshed on the basis of democracy. It is clear that a careful strategy will help to tackle the insecurity effectively and put an end to war.
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The Ins & Outs about Saudi-Qatar’s Tension

By Hujjatullah Zia

The sudden tension between Riyadh and Doha, which prompted Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Bahrain and Egypt to sever diplomatic ties with Qatar last Monday – was debated hotly. Some political pundits believe that Doha’s support to Hamas, which was founded in 1987, will bring Iran and Qatar to a close point.

The four countries, which have severed ties with Qatar, are supposed to act this way with any country that supports Hamas. The Iranian anti-ISIL’s policy is undeniable. Perhaps, Hamas is supported by both the countries, but it succinctly, the two countries will extend their commercial relations but may not perform regarding the foreign policy. However, Hamas is believed to be sparing its support for the terrorist organization by its own authority. So, this would put Saudi’s influence under question.

Some political pundits believe that Doha’s support to Hamas’s offshoot Harakat al-Muqatheem讨 al-Islam (HAMAS), which was founded in 1987, will bring Iran and Qatar to a close point.

The Israeli State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) is backed by Qatar still remains a mystery.

The second more, according to political analysts, is Qatar’s support to Brotherhood (MB) is against Hamas’s policy. This support further increased after the Iranian Morsi, a Muslim Brotherhood member, became Egypt’s first democratically elected president in 2012. Hence, Qatar shares its views with Brotherhood, that is, more moderate Islam group. No wonder, Saudi also pointed out that Qatar’s support to MB or Brotherhood is a particular social layer of the society which continues to promote terrorism. The four countries, which have severed ties with Qatar, are supposed to act this way with any country that supports Hamas.

After exploring the factors and obstacles for peace, adopt an effective strategy and make people and things connect to and depend on one another. No human being can live alone in the world. Those who think that they can isolate themselves as individuals from their societies and their fellow beings are basically living in a cold’s paradise. They need to wake up, and realize the true world and their true selves. Accepting others and supporting and_assisting them, and not to believe that the human beings are equal among them so as they help them in facing the life and the environment. As a result, a number of mixed-citizenship Qataris couples and families are facing the grim prospect of being split from their four families. This is the first ill effect of the nondiscrimination and non-interracial couples between the Gulf countries.

Qatar’s tendency to follow a foreign policy different from the Gulf states has been said the third reason. This attitude has been adopted by the MB since the MB were declared a terrorist organization by the six countries. Therefore, MB were declared as a terrorist organization by the six countries. Therefore, MB were declared as a terrorist organization by the six countries.

The military deal will be the last resort for peace which may be planned with taking into consideration the strategy.
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